

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.laptb.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/683,887 02/27/2002 Gary W. Yeager 60LT1094-9 7805 23413 7590 10/23/2003 EXAMINER CANTOR COLBURN, LLP AYLWARD, DAVID E 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1712

DATE MAILED: 10/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1 ">	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/683,887	YEAGER, GARY	YEAGER, GARY W.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	David E Aylward	1712		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence a	ddress	
Period for Reply	VIO OFT TO EVDIDE	S MONTH(S) EDOM		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, many many many many many many many many	y a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered tim MONTHS from the mailing date of this the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on &	his action is non-final.			
		matters prosecution as to	the merits is	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde Disposition of Claims	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	6 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	ano monto to	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application	on.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.			
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>36 and 37</u> is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,21 and 38</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) 6-20 and 22-35 is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc			`	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E				
	ZAGITITIOT.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 35 11 S	C 8 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gii priority drider do d.d			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nts have been received			
			al Stage	
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pr application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	J 1	
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome:	stic priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provision	nal application).	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application h	as been received.		
Attachment(s)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper ce of Informal Patent Application (rr:	No(s) PTO-152)	

Serial No. 09/683,887 Art Unit 1712

न्हें दि _जैं। भ

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5, 21 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klinkenberg (4,039,538).
- 3. Klinkenberg teaches that the claimed retardant additive of the instant claims imparts fire retardancy to thermoplastics and thermosetting compositions (Abstract, column 4 line 50). Thus it would have been obvious to add this flame retardant to the thermosetting composition of the instant claims for it has been held that it is obvious to add a known ingredient for its known function (In re Linder 173 USPQ 356, In re Dial et al. 140 USPQ 244).
- 4. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klinkenberg (4,039,538).
- 5. As indicated in the preceding rejection, Klinkenberg teaches the compound of instant claim 3 as an art recognized flame retardant thermosetting composition. Thus the flame retardant of claim 4 would be obvious over one of the preceding

Serial No. 09/683,887

Art Unit 1712

claims because it has been held it is obvious that compounds with similar structures will have similar properties (In re Gyurik, 596 F. 2d, 1012, 201 USPQ 552 (CCPA 1979).

- 6. Claims 4, 6-20 and 22-35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mr. Aylward whose telephone number is (703) 308-2372. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Dawson, can be reached on (703) 308-2340. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

DAylward:cdc

October 14, 2003

Hobert Dawson Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700